

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

08 FEB 22 AM 8:37

*This space for
Clerk's Office File Stamp*

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FROM: C Puttmann, Deputy Clerk
CASE NO.: 08cv192 LAB AJB DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff
CASE TITLE: Benson v. Ross Dress 4 Less et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Letter

RECEIVED DATE: 2/19/2008

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule | Discrepancy |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5.1 | Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5.3 | Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5.4 | Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1 | Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1 | Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1 | Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 | Missing table of contents |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 15.1 | Amended pleading not complete in itself |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 30.1 | Depositions not accepted absent a court order |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Supplemental documents require court order |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <u>OTHER: Ex Parte Communication not permitted</u> |

Date forwarded: 2/19/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: LARRY ALAN BURNS
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: 2/20/08
cc: All Parties

By: MDM/LC

REJECTED

To whom it may concern :
and to,

The Honorable Judge
Harry Alan Burns

I would like to offer my sincerest
apology for the misinterpretation
& improper submission of my
initial paperwork & complaint
I closed you will find all information
pertaining to this case including
Right to Sue notices from The
D.F.E.H. & the E.E.O.C. as well

The fact that you have ruled
not in favor of myself the plaintiff
because of improper submission can
be helped, but thank you for explaining
why, I honestly believe that without
a doubt when presented with the facts
and evidence, that being medical records
statements, & employment records it
will undoubtedly prove my accusations
to have lucidity & be verifiable

Respectfully Submitted

Carl A. Benson